IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.451 OF 2005

Shri.Ramesh Kalidas Patel ...Applicant

Versus

The State ...Respondent

.....

Mrs.Teja D.Katdare for Applicant.

Mr.R.Y.Mirza, A.P.P. for Respondent.

.....

CORAM: A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.

JANUARY 27, 2005.

P.C.

1. Heard Counsel for the parties.

2. Having regard to the allegations against the Applicant and the antecedents of the Applicant, not a case for anticipatory bail. I see no reason to depart from the conclusion reached by the Sessions Court in rejecting the anticipatory bail application preferred by the Applicant. The fact that the value of the goods involved in the crime

is less than Rs.5,000/- (Rupees Five Thousand), can be no reason to ignore the serious allegations made against the Applicant of creating terror and threatening the witnesses, which would definitely affect the fair investigation of the case. Not a case for anticipatory bail. Rejected.

A.M.KHANWILKAR, J.